

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/586,163	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FUSHIMI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JAMES YAGER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1782	

**All Participants:**

(1) JAMES YAGER.

(2) MANABU KANESAKA.

**Date of Interview:** 19 August 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*Koike et al. (2003/0156080)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed amending claim 1 to a multicolor glass bottle to clearly distinguish from the closest prior art Koike et al. (2003/0156080), which discloses a Braun tube. Applicant's representative agreed to the proposed amendment.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rena L. Dye/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782  
/James C. Yager/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1782

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)